## The Methods

## Overview

Four card majors, medium no-trump but balanced hands open naturally and major-first if weak, 1 NT if mid-range ( $14-16 / 15-17\left[3^{\text {rd }} / 4^{\text {th }}\right]$ and $1 \&$ with $17-19$ any balanced, including some 5 -3-3-2.

Because one-major might be a flat 11-count some care is required in responding and 1 NT is wide range, say 6-12.
With 4-4-4-1 shapes, with minimums open one-major if sensible otherwise one minor.

## One Club

Transfers responses however these are 'complete with weak no-trump' - not 'complete with fit' (Gold/Townsend use this approach, although mainly at TT's insistence).

At the moment all 11-13 with 4 M are opened with 1 M however nasty. Therefore we promise clubs with 1\&-1Red; 2M.

After 1\&:
$1 \diamond / \vee \quad \vee / \uparrow 4+$ may have longer minor

1~ 4+clubs 4+
1NT 17-19 Bal
2: clubs single suited
$2 \bullet / \vee$ Extra values, 4+ \& 5+clubs
$2 \vee / \uparrow 4$ card support with $4+$ clubs, minimum
2. $\quad$ FG

2NT 16+ long clubs, 3M 'Nightmare Hand'
3: Weak
3Red TRF FG
3NT Poor major, game suggestion
Err, that's it
3* $16+6+$ clubs etc.
3L Unbalanced shortage

1. Diamonds, 4+, usually 5+ if with 4M then FG.

1NT 17-19
2* Clubs
2. All minimum hands

2M Extra values, 4+ \& 5+clubs
2NT Extra values, 4+ \& 5+*
3: Clubs
3. Nat INV

1NT No major, 7-11 Bal

Natural
2: Clubs, FG
2. Weak NT, no major

2M Natural, err, have some notes somewhere \#\# TODO \#\#
$2 \vee / \vee$ TRF, 6+ 8-11
2NT ask, same as opening two
2. Balanced INV no major

Opener sets contract or bids naturally FG
2N Two way;
(1) Balanced, FG 4+clubs, 13-17
(2) 0-4 weak long clubs, not suitable for 3NT opposite 17-19

3\& Obligatory
3. 16-17 no major
$3 \mathrm{M} \quad 13-154 \mathrm{M}$
3N 13-15 No major
4: RKCB clubs
4L Voidwood, clubs
3: clubs 5-8, pre-emptive opposite weak no-trump with clubs but having play for 3NT opposite 17-19
Natural continuations looking towards 3NT

## One Major

## The Scanian Raise

1M - 2NT is a limit-raise-or-better. The Scanian idea is to disclose as little information as possible in borderline game and slam decisions. That means some of the sequences are codified (though leaning on natural response) and we play a version that opts for simple choices where proffered.

1M - 2NT
3: FG not suitable for descriptive game-going actions below
3. serious slam-try, now:

3v no shortage

3• non-serious slam-try - based on honours or shortage
3. asks for shortage, $3 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{no}$, then NGF

3a hate the slam thing altogether
3NT/4m 5-5 NGF good suits
3. Two way: (a) good minimum (possibly Weak NT) or (b) void. Responder:

- declines with 3M (opener's 3NT to play)
- accepts with 3 N allowing void showing
- tries for slam with 30M asking for shortage (cheapest = no, others NGF)
- tries for slam with 4 minor natural

3M Bad minimum, responder:

- passes or bids game (inc. 3NT) to play
- initiates control-bidding (opener's 3NT encourages)

3OM 17-19 5-3-3-2 responder:

- bids 4 M with no slam interest
- shows shortage (cheapest $=$ no, others NGF)

3NT/4m $\quad 5-5(\mathrm{M} / \hookleftarrow / \bullet)$ or 4-6 (4-card OM), responder:

- control-bids
- 4 N RKC

